PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



the RNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO	RITY	٠	REC'D 22 APF	₹ 2005
RNATIONAL 62.24			PCT WIPO	PCT
ENNETT JONES LLP 00 Bankers Hall East 5 - 2nd Street S.W. ALGARY, Alberta		WRI' INTERNATIO	TTEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHO)RITY
nada, T2P 4K7	1	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	14 April 2005 (14-04-2005)	
applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
2922-7 mernational application No. CT/CA2004/002102	International filing date 06 December 2004 (06-	(day/month/year) -12-2004)	Priority date (day/month/yec 21 January 2004 (21-01-200	ır) 14)
recrnational Patent Classification (IPC): B60D-1/14, B60D-1/18	IPC) or both national cla	ssification and IPC		
	sis of the opinion			
[] Box No. II Pri	ority on-establishment of opinion	n with regard to novelty,	inventive step and industrial app	plicability
)) aj	pplicability; citations and co	ule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with re explanations supporting	gard to novelty, inventive step of such statement.	r industrial
[X] Box No. VII	Certain documents cited Certain defects in the intern Certain observations on the	e international applicant	on	temational Preliminary
IPEA has notified the International	ove, considered to be a written	this opinion will be consider where the applicant chooses by that written opinions of opinion of the IPEA, the a ration of 3 months from the	ered to be a written opinion of the Int an Authority other than this one to I this International Searching Authorit pplicant is invited to submit to the II date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/2	y will not be so considered. PEA a written reply 20 or before the expiration
of 22 months from the priority de	TI/ISA/220.			
3. For further details, see notes to I	Form PCT/ISA/220.	Authorized off	cer	21-
Name and mailing address of the Canadian Intellectual Property	e ISA/CA	Authorized on	Catherine Durance (819)	
50 Victoria Street Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0C9	2476			Page 1 of
Facsimile No.: 001(819)953-2	est) (January 2004)			

International application No. PCT/CA2004/002102

c No. I	Basis of this opinion
With regard was filed, u	to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it nless otherwise indicated under this item.
[]This o	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search
•	Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
With regard invention,	I to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of	material
[]	a sequence listing
[]	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format	of material
[[]	in written format .
[]	in computer readable form
. c. time o	f filing/furnishing
. [3	contained in the international application as filed.
[]	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
[]	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
. [] In ad-	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or
furni: filed	thed, the required statement that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
	l comments :
•	
•	
• •	
• _=_	

International application No. PCT/CA2004/002102

tax No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement

ement			YES
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-13	NO
	Claims	NONE	-
Inventive step (IS)	G1-:	4	YES
	Claims		МО
	Claims	1-3, 5-13	YES
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13	
			NO
	Claims	NONE	

Citations and explanations:

Documents referred to in the following discussion:

- D1: US2002140206 A1 (LLOYD, M. J.) 3 October 2002 (03-10-2002) D2: SUPERWINCH[®], Copyright 2001-2002 Products / Accessories (Receiver Shackle Bracket - 1559B Class III Receiver Hitch Mount), retrieved on 24-03-2005 from internet URL: http://www.superwinch.com/products/accessories/index.html
- D3: FR2043113 A5 (GRUCHOT, V.) 12 February 1971 (12-02-1971)

Novelty:

Claims 1-13 comply with PCT Article 33(2). No single document teaches a hitch assembly comprising a coupling tongue, a coupling pin, a hitch pin, a U-shaped clevis having a substantially flat cross section pivotally coupled to the coupling tongue, and an opening formed by the coupling tongue and the clevis, sized to receive a towing member.

Claims 1-3 and 5-13 DO NOT comply with PCT Article 33(3). The subject matter of these claims would have been obvious on the claim date to a person skilled in the art or science to which it pertains having regard to D1 or D2 in view of D3.

- D1 discloses (refer to Fig. 2) a hitch assembly consisting of a coupling tongue (48) with a first end and a second end, further comprising a first aperture sized to engage a couplin pin at the first end, and a second aperture sized to engage a hitch pin at the second end; a D-ring (46) being pivotally coupled to the second end of the coupling tongue (48) with the hitch pin, and an opening formed by the coupling tongue (48) and the D-ring (46), sized to receive a towing member. Moreover, D1 teaches the use of various towing members such as chains or tow-straps and the use of various materials (see page 3, paragraph [0035]).
- D2 discloses (refer to the "Receiver Shackle Bracket" picture) a hitch assembly consisting of a coupling tongue with a first end and a second end, further comprising a first aperture sized to engage a couplin pin at the first end, and a second aperture sized to engage a hitch pin at the second end; a shackle being pivotally coupled to the second end of the coupling tongue with the hitch pin, and an opening formed by the coupling tongue and the shackle, sized to receive a towing member.
- D1 and D2 both show the main elements recited in claim 1. The subject matter of claim 1 differs from D1 or D2 only in that the D-ring/shackle consists of a U-shaped clevis having a substantially flat cross section. This feature however is taught by D3. D3 teaches (refer to Fig. 2 & 3) a U-shaped clevis (17) with a first end and a second end, that is substantially flat in cross section and that consists of an aperture (18) at the first end and the second end, said U-shaped clevis being pivotally coupled to a coupling member (3) with a pin (19). To select a known towing member connection (U-shaped clevis) as shown in D3 for the hich assembly of D1 or D2 would have been an obvious design variant for one skilled in the art.

(Continued in the Supplemental Box)

International application No. PCT/CA2004/002102

Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

ABSTRACT

The abstract does not comply with PCT Rule 8.1(d). Each main technical feature mentioned in the abstract and illustrated by a drawing in the international application shall be followed by a reference sign, place between parentheses.

International application No. PCT/CA2004/002102

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

CLAIMS

Claim 1 does not comply with PCT Article 6. The expression "and second aperture" (claim 1, line 3) should read "and a second aperture".

DESCRIPTION

PCT Rule 11.13(1) requires that reference signs not mentioned in the description shall not appear in the drawings, and vice versa. The description introduces "coupling socket 22" (page 4, line 10) and "axially aligned apertures 30" (page 5, lines 5-6), however, these reference numbers do not appear in the drawings.

Page 6, line 20 of the description contains a typographical error. The description refers to "Figures 9 and 10", however, there is no Figure 10 in the drawings.

International application No. PCT/CA2004/002102

Supplemental Box

 \mathbb{R}_{+} case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Box No. V

The features defined by dependent claims 2, 3 and 5-13 can be inferred by D1, D3 or general knowledge in the art. For instance, the use of various materials (claims 2 and 7-10) can be inferred by D1. Design elements such as wear plates and aperture linings (claims 3, 5 and 6) are commonly used to reinforce parts against wear and deformation and are therefore considered to be design choices known to one skilled in the art. Similarly, the hitch assembly in combination with a towing belt, to tow a vehicle (claim 11) and the method of towing a vehicle (claim 12) can be inferred by D3 (see Fig. 1). Accordingly, the subject matter of claims 1-3 and 5-13 does not involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

Claim 4 complies with PCT Article 33(3). Claim 4 is considered to involve an inventive step since, having regard to the prior art, it is not, at the prescribed relevant date, obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Industrial Applicability:

Claims 1-13 have industrial applicability under PCT Article 33(4) because the claimed subject matter can be made or used.